File No. 459/28

19

Collection No.

Office of the Resident
in Mysore
Bangalore.

Pros. Serial Nos. 1-7-13.15

96

Subject.

Audit objection re fees levied under Police order 81 and Arms licence fees. Commissioner of Police authorised to open an account with the Imperial Bank of India in connection with the Police Sports Fund

Previous References.	Later References.
F 147/25	F 285/29

F. 97. (Blue.)

1GIPC—FP—725DOF—S&P—(G-1576)—14-3-28—60,000.

S.N.1. Letter No. 533, dt 15/16.8.28, from The Audit Officer

1

The remarks of The Commr. of Police on the Audit Officer's suggestions may be invited.

Draft submitted

K.V. Ramanathan
27-8-28

30/27/8

27/8.

S.N.2. Issued No. 8190, dt. 30.8.28

S. N. 3. From The Comm^r. of Police No. 887/A/28, dt 4/6-9-28.

2

(A) & (D) The previous correspondence is at S. N. 1 & 2, & relates to two matters:—

(M)[+] (i) Fees levied under Police Order 81.

(ii) Collections for sports fund from members of The Police Force

3 (i) Fees levied under Police Order No. 81.

S. N. 1 (A) The contentions in para. 1 (i) of The Audit Officer's letter appear technically correct but the inconvenience pointed out by The Comm^r in para. 1 of p. u. c is also

also real. The Audit Offr may perhaps be asked if he can suggest any method which will avoid or reduce to the minimum the inconvenience referred to by the Comm^r

4

(ii) . Collections for sports fund from members of the Police Force.

The Audit Officer's main point is That "the collections do not pertain to general revenues and as such their entry in the official cash book does not appear to be in order". He suggested That if necessary The Comm^r might be instructed to keep the accounts

para 1 (ii) of S.N. 1 (A)

accounts " absolutely separate
from the Govt. account
books.

The Comm^r says in
answer that " a separate
para. II " account is now maintained
A.G.R.C. " for this work and kept
" distinct from the cash book "
but at the same time adds
that " monies received in the
" day are first entered by the
" Accountant in the general
" cash book as all other
" monies received into the
" office in order to ensure
" safety, & a separate clerk
" is keeping the sports fund
" accounts. "

The answer does not
appear to meet the real
point of the objection:
Sports fund collections do
not form part of the
general revenues and should
not

not, it appears, find a place in the general cash book.

The only question that remains for consideration in regard to this matter appears to be whether the banking account may be allowed to continue, in which case the sanction of the Principal Auditor has to be sought for. This is submitted for favour of orders.

5 A draft is submitted for approval, with reference to point (i) viz. fees levied under Police Order No. 81 (para. 3 ante).

C V Ramanathan
15.9.28

(From para. 2)

6 Issue & submit to Secretary in return with reference to A above.

15/9/28

7

Paragraph 6 ante

‡ S.N. 4(E)

‡ The draft has issued.

▽ The file is submitted

~~to~~ Secretary's return.

(From para. 2)

R V Ramanathan
20.9.28

Secretary

W 20/9

8 (1) Action has already been taken on para 3.

(2) As regards para 4 the Comm'r. must be instructed not to enter transactions connected with the Sports Fund in the general cash book, but to keep them at every stage entirely separate from his official transactions.

(3) We may ask the principal auditor, whoever he may be (I presume the Audit Officer, Experiments, will himself have this power) to give his sanction to the continuance of the Banking Account

(4) The letter to the Comm'r. referred to in para 8(2) above can await the reply to S.N. 4, and then an omnibus letter can be sent with the Resident's approval

ACQ
21/9

Para. 8 (3) above.

A message to the Audit Office is submitted for approval.

C.V. Ramanathan
24. 8. 28

S.N-1 (17)

10

Teleph. Message

From

Registrar

To

The Superintendent
Audit Office
Bangalore

Reference: paragraph 1 (ii) of the Audit Officer's letter no. 533, dated the 15th August 1928, regarding collections for sports fund from members of the Police force. Will you please let me know who the Principal Auditor is whose sanction is required for the continuance of the banking account of this fund.

24/9/28

EVR
24.9.28

Issued
[illegible]
28.

Teleph. Message

From
Registrar

To
The Superintendent
Audit Office
Bangalore

II

Would you kindly
send me an early
reply to my message* of the 24th instant
regarding the
banking account
of the Hobli sports
fund collections.

*overleaf

EVM
26.2.19

26/2/19

Issued
Manager
1.30 PM.

Telephone Message

From The Audit Superintendent

To The Registrar
Residents Office.

Reference: Your telephone message of date.

The question has been referred to the Audit Office and an official reply will be made to you as soon as a reference is received from Madras.

W Brapp
26/9/28.

para. 11 ante

(12)

W 26/9

(13)

The reply may be awaited.

K. V. Ramanathan.
26.9.28

W 26/9

S.N.5 (H) Letter No. Bang. Audit 20-6-704, dt 25.9.28, from the Audit Officer

14 S.N.5 P.U.C. contains the remarks of the Audit Officer on para.1 of the Comm.r's letter at S.N.3, relating to fees levied under Police Order* no: 81. (F) (M)* (As regards the sports fund collection referred to in para. II of the Comm.r's letter, a reply from the Audit Officer is awaited — para. 13 of notes as well as para. 8 (3) S.N.3 (F)

15 The proposals in P.U.C. may perhaps be agreed to, but in regard to (b), the Comm.r may first be consulted. Draft submitted

C.V. Ramanatham
10.10.28
10

10/10

10/10

Secretary

(To S.N.6) Issued No. 8667, dt 16.10.28

16

Reference is invited to paras. 10-12 & the bracketed portion of the note at para. 14

A draft reminder to the Audit Supt. with reference to this message at para. 12 is submitted.

(From para. 16)

R.V. Ramanatham
24.10.28

Teleph. Message

From

Registrar

To

The Supt.
Audit Office

Please refer to your message of the 26th September regarding the banking account of the police sports fund collections.

Would you kindly say when a reply may be expected.

para. 12 of notes

K.V. Ramanathan
24.10.28

Issued
W Batuwitage
25.10.28
11.15 A.M.

Telephone Message

From
The Audit Superintendent

To
The Registrar,
Resident's office.

What about?
W/26/X

Reference: ~~Your~~ telephone message of 25th October 1928.

(18) The question has not yet been settled. The matter has been referred to the Officer on Special Duty, Government of India, Finance Department and a reply will be sent as soon as he replies.

regarding banking account for police sports funds collection para. 17 ante

Wasappa
26/10/28
2.25 PM.

(19) The promised reply may be awaited.

C. V. Ramanathan
26.10.28

W/26/X

S.N-7 From The Commr. of Orissa,
No. 987/A/28, dt 18/19 Oct. 28

(20) Two questions are dealt with in this file:—

‡ (1) Fees levied under Orissa Order 81.

Later correspondence in regard to this is at para 1 of S.N.3 and S.N. 4—7 (p.c.). Mr. Sullivan accepts the procedure outlined in the Audit Officer's letter (S.N.5) — subject to a slight modification.

Orissa Spirits Funds Collections

A reply from the Audit Office is still awaited — paras. 8 (3), 12-13 & 18 of notes.

(21) As it is intended to issue an omnibus letter with Resident's approval, the case may await the reply from the Audit Office, referred to above. (From para. 20).

‡ para. 8 (4) ante

K. V. Ramanathan
29-10.28

30/X/28

30/X

S.N-8 (P)

No. Bang. Audit 20-6-1232, dt 20.2.29 from the Audit Officer, Bangalore

22

The letter referred to in this p.u.c. does not appear to have been received in this office.

× Vide marginal note on the p.u.c

Draft submitted

C.V. Ramanathan
1-3-29

VRS 1/3

(Q) S.N-9

Issued no. 2188, dt 4.3.29

S.N.10

From the Audit Officer, no. 20-6-1284, dt 6.3.29.

23

The present position is that the Audit Officer's reply regarding the sports fund collections is awaited.
--Vide para. 21 ante.

Draft submitted.

C.V. Ramanathan
22.3.29

S.N.12.

From the Audit Officer, Experiments, Bangalore, No.Bang Audits.20-6, dated the 11th April, 1929.

The question relating to the Principal Auditor may be left alone now until the Audit authorities come to a decision about it in due course. In the meanwhile, orders may be issued regarding the disbursement of fees levied under Police Order 81, ~~after~~ with the Hon'ble the Resident's approval. - vide Mr. Lothian's remarks at paragraph 8 (4) ante.

Draft submitted.

M.Ramachandrayya
26.4.29

Will you kindly see the draft order below and say whether it makes matters clear from your point of view ?

Commr. of Police 27.4.29

U.O.No.3865
30-4-29

Yes. Thanks.

U.O. No 535-H/29
30/4/29.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE
No. 4433
Date of Receipt 1/5/29
File No

Submitted for orders. Draft may issue.

M.Ramachandrayya
1.5.29

4.5.29

Issued Number 4245-S dt 11th May 1929

Secretary

S.N.13

Please see the correspondence ending with the Audit Officer, Bangalore, letter No.Bang.Audits 20-6-5, dated 12-4-1929, regarding the opening of a banking account in favour of the Commissioner of Police for moneys collected from the members of the Police Force on account of Sports Fund. Orders have since been received that the Officer on Special Duty is to be treated as 'Principal Auditor' for purposes of according sanction to the opening of Banking Account in respect of Officers under the account control of this office.

Necessary sanction of the Officer on Special Duty may kindly be applied for. The letter may be forwarded through this office.

J. R. 30.

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident, Bangalore, U.O. No. P.B. U.O. No.343 dated 30-1-1930/1st February 1930.

The above note relates only to the maintenance of the Police Sports Funds accounts.

The previous papers and notes connected with this are :-

(1) para. 1 (ii) of S.N.1
(2) para. II of S.N. 3
(3) para.4, 8(2) & (3), 10-12, and 18 of notes.

Action has now to be taken to obtain the sanction of the Officer on Special Duty, Finance Deptt., for the maintenance of a banking account in favour of the Commr. of Police. In applying for the sanction, the exact procedure that will be followed in maintaining the account will have to be mentioned. The present procedure does not appear to be stricly correct. The point is, transactions connected with the Sports Fund must not

not be entered in the general Cash book but should be kept at every stage entirely separate from the Commissioner(s official transactions. Vide para. 8(2) ante. The procedure outlined in para. II of the Commr's letter at S.N. 3 will require slight modification

The case may perhaps be sent U.O. to the Commr. for information and for favour of any remarks which he may wish to offer before the Officer on Special Duty is addressed in the matter.

C. V. Ramanathan
4.3.30

28.3.30

28 1° 2820
31.3.30.

To The Commissioner of Police, U.O.

29/3/30.

No: 5710/9/30
1-4-30.

A separate account is kept in the Harbours Fund and no entries are made in the Cash Book.

1/4

3697
2/4/30.

459
28

Para 26 ante

Draft submitted.

K.M. Vasudevan
26.4.30

W.S.T. may issue!

30/4.

We are about to ask the Govt. of India for a grant for the promotion of sports etc. and are proposing to discontinue the levy of subscriptions. In that case the continuance of a Bank account will probably not be necessary as the grant will be Govt. monies. I think that we shd consider the point as then it may become necessary only to obtain the Govt, of India's approval of what has been done in the past and not to the contiuance of the Bank account as well.

1.5.30

(M) 39

S. N. 9

285 - 1929

The Govt of India have been addressed now in letter No. 6098 dated the 1st August 1930, for an initial non-recurring grant of Rs.1,500 and an annual grant of Rs. 750 to be placed at the disposal of the Commissioner of Police for the promotion of sports and games for the Police Force in the Station. If the grant is made by the Govt of India it will not be necessary to collect subscriptions from the force and consequently maintain a bank account further.

It is for orders whether the reply from the Govt of India should be awaited before they are addressed as in the concluding portion of para 30 above.

P.M. Vasudevan
8. 8. 30.

A reply from the Govt of India may be awaited

9/8

11. 8. 30

32

The Govt of India have now sanctioned the grants referred to in para 31 ante. It is presumed therefore that the levy of the compulsory subscription from the members of the Police Force in the Station will be stopped and that it will be no longer necessary for the Commissioner of Police to maintain a banking account in his name with the Imperial Bank of India, Bangalore in connection with the Police Sports Fund which will cease to exist. To regularise the action already taken by the Commissioner of Police in opening the account in the Bank, it is probably necessary to obtain the posthumous sanction of the Principal Auditor referred to in S.N.1, who is the Officer on Special duty in the Finance Dept of the Govt of India.
A revised draft letter is submitted in the altered circumstances. It is for consideration whether the letter may issue with the concurrence of the Audit Officer, Experimental Bangalore, who may perhaps be consulted u.o.

Kr. Varadarajan
17.11.30.

20.XI.30

I should like to be able to say that the Bank Account has been closed or that steps are being taken to close it. Will Commr of Police kindly say whether it has been closed and, if not, when it will be possible conveniently to do so.

Commr of Police u/o 22.11.30

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
26 NOV. 1930
Regd. No. 12021
File No. 459/28

D.O. No. 8986
dt. 24.11.30.

I will close it at once transferring the balance to the Sultanpet Club, which is situated in the Fort area.

25/11/30

(35)

Please see para 34 ante. The Commissioner of Police has closed the Sports Fund and this is in accordance with the amendment made by the Secretary in para 3 of the draft letter to the Govt of India which it is proposed to issue through the Audit Officer, Experiments, Bangalore. It is for orders whether the draft should be sent u.o. to the Audit Officer, Bangalore, before issue.

K.M. Vaswan
1.12.30.

Audit Officer may see u/o. before issue.

5.12.30

To

The Audit Officer, Experiments, Bangalore, U.O.

K.M. Vaswan
6.12.30

U.O. No. 9397.
dt-8.12.30.

Seen and returned with thanks through the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, to whose u.o. Note No.343 dated 30-1-1930, para 26 ante, attention is invited in this connection. The letter to the Officer on Special Duty need not go through the Audit Officer.

2. It is presumed that the Hon'ble the Resident is satisfied about the propriety of transferring the balances in the Sports Fund account to the Honorary Secretary, Sullivan Club- paragraph 34 ante.

(37)



Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident u.o.
through the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.
Audit Officer, Bangalore u.o.Bang.Audits 815 d 11-12-1930.

20-b/28-29

FORWARDED.

S. Ramaswamy
26.12.30

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B'lore.
U.O. No. 211 dated the 18th December 1930.



(38)

The Commissioner of Police has transferred the ... of the Sports Fund to the Sullivan Club on the c... of the former. No objection need be taken to thi... The draft letter may now issue through the Pay a... Accounts Officer, as suggested.

K.M. Vasudevan
20.12.30.

27.12.30

The draft is self-explanatory.

Reqd

2.1.31

7.1

S.N.12.

Issued No.233 dated 13-1-31.

S.N.16.

From the Govt of India, No. D/219/199, dated 26-1-31.

(39)

The sanction of the Officer on Special Duty with the Govt of India in the Finance Dept. in regard to the account already opened by the Commissioner of Police in connection with the Police Sports Fund, in the Imperial Bank of India, has been communicated direct to the P & A Officer. The sanction may therefore be now communicated to the Commissioner of Police through the Dt Magistrate.

Draft endt submitted.

M.Y. Narasimhan
30-1-31.

31.1.31

Secretary.

S.N.15.

Issued No.818 dated 4-2-31.

NOTES AND PRECIS.

S. 6.—E. P. B.—10-1911.—4,000.

No. Bang Audits-533 Office of the Audit Officer,
Experiments,
"Mayo Hall", Bangalore,
Dated the 15th August 1928.

From

C.Reilly, Esq.,
Audit Officer,
Experiments,

To

The Secretary to the Resident in Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of my letter No. Bang. Audits 474 dated 6-8-1928 to the Commissioner of Police and his reply thereto and to observe as follows:--

(i) Fees levied under Police order 81:- Fees regulated by a scale fixed by Government and duly taken into the official cash book are Government departmental receipts, and disbursements directly out of them constitute a violation of the orders in the very first article of Civil Account Code Volume I. Any payments to the members of the Police force will also have to be regulated under Fundamental Rule 47 and the Supplementary Rules thereunder.

(ii) Sports Funds collections:- The collections do not pertain to general revenues and as such their entry in the official cash book does not appear to be in order. If necessary, the Commissioner of Police may be instructed to keep the accounts absolutely separate from the Government account books.

If it be decided to keep, the banking account of this fund in favour of the Commissioner of Police, the sanction of the Principal Auditor may be obtained under clause IV(a) of Appendix A to the Treasury orders. (Vide Appendix 8C to Civil Account Code Volume I).

2. The orders issued by the Hon'ble the Resident may kindly be communicated to me.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

C. Rally 15/8

Audit Officer.

R.S. 15/8

Copy of letter No. Bang. Audits 474 dated 6-8-28 from the Audit Officer, Bangalore to the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

I have the honour to invite a reference to paragraph 81 of the Police orders of the Civil and Military Station and to request to be informed as to how the fees charged for police attendance at entertainments, carriage arrangements and the like are treated. It is noticed that they are taken to the cash book and payments made directly to the members of the Police force therefrom without their being brought to account as required in article 1 of Civil Account Code Volume I. Copy of any orders in support of this procedure may be furnished.

2. It is also noticed that collections for sports fund from members of the Police force are entered in the cash book prior to their being sent to the bank to the credit of the banking account in favour of the Commissioner of Police". As these collections apparently do not form part of general revenues or receipts of the Commissioner in his official capacity, the propriety of entering them in the cash book may be explained. Information may also be furnished whether the sanction of the Principal Auditor was obtained under the appendix to the Treasury Orders (Vide Appendix 8-C to Civil Account Code Volume I) for opening the banking account referred to above.

****

Copy of letter No.906/A/28 dated the 8/9th August 1928 from the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore to the Audit Officer, Bangalore.

Reference: Your No. Bang. Audits 474 dated 10th August 1928.

--

The procedure followed as pointed out in para 1 above is authorised by Police Order 81(3).

2. (a) Sports Fund collections are entered in cash book for convenience and security.

(b) No sanction of the principal Auditor has been asked for as the transaction is not an official transaction.

****

[R. O. 106 (a)].

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 30/8/ 1928

No. 8190 ENCLOS. one

A copy of the marginally* noted letter, ~~with enclosure~~, is forwarded

No. 533 dated 15/16-8-28 from the Audit Officer Bangalore

to the Commissioner of Police, Cox Station, Bangalore, for favour of remarks.

SS/Southerwood

for Secretary to the Resident.

532—15-9-1927—5,000—RPB.

C.V. Ramanathan 27.8.28

30/27/8

3

No. 787/A/28 Office of the Commissioner of Police
Civil and Military Station Bangalore.

Dated the 4th September 1928.

From
H.Dawson Esquire,
Commissioner of Police,
Civil and Military Station,
Bangalore.

Regd. No. 8696
7-9-28
Date of despatch
File No. 459/28

To
The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

S.N.2 Ref:- your No.8190 dated 30-8-1928.

---

1. FEES LEVIED UNDER POLICE ORDER 81.

These fees are received from the parties by the Divisional Inspectors and forwarded to my office with the concerned bills for my approval and countersignature and they are returned to Divisions the same day for disbursement to the officials who rendered police services.

All monies of every kind, remitted into the office must find a place in the cash-book. Apart from fees received from the parties at public entertainments, there are other monies received e.g. fees for various licenses issued by me, such as Arms licenses, Cinema licenses, licenses for Public Resorts and so forth as well as all fees collected under Motor Vehicles licenses. If, as pointed out by the Audit officer under Civil Account Code Volume I, fees collected for Police services are to be remitted to Treasury, the same rule will apply to all other monies received into the office as pointed out herein and if this rule is to be obeyed, it will result in good deal of inconvenience thus:-

(a) Fees for Police services when remitted to Treasury, will have to be drawn back from the Treasury again for payment to the payees concerned or refund orders on the Treasury for petty amounts

will have to be prepared and issued to the parties to whom the monies are due. This means a great waste of time in my office.

(b) In the case of Arms licence fees, received by cash, my office purchases stamp paper and files them in the respective files of the licensees. If all these monies are likewise remitted to the treasury and drawn again and stamp paper purchased thereafter, it will result in abnormal delay in the issue of licenses and complaints from the public.

(c) As regards motor fees, these are received by my office and remitted the next day into the Municipality, to whom the motor collections have to go.

(d) As regards objection under Fundamental Rule 47, the scale of fees is already fixed and regulated by our Police order 81 and therefore appears to me to be duly "sanctioned" within the meaning of the rule pointed out.

II. SPORTS FUND COLLECTIONS

A separate account is now maintained for this work and kept distinct from the cash book. Monies received in the day are first entered by the Accountant in the general cash book as all other monies received into the office in order to ensure safety and a separate clerk is keeping the Sports Fund accounts and the banking account of this fund.

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

H/9 '42

DS.4-9

[R. O. 106 (a)].

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 19th Septr 1928

No. 8850 ENCLOS[illegible]/

F S. N. 1 (A)

With reference to his letter no. 533, dated the 15th August 1928, (of paragraph 1)

hana. 1 of S. N. 3 (F-C)

A copy of the marginally* noted letter, ~~with enclosure~~, is forwarded to the Audit Officer, Bangalore for favour of such remarks as he may wish to offer thereon, and with the request that he will be so good as to state whether any means can be suggested by ~~Secretary to the Resident.~~ which the inconvenience brought

*No. 987/A/28 dated 4-9-28 from the Commr. of Police Comstr, Bangalore

532—15-9-1927—5,000—RPB.

brought to notice by the Commr. of Police may be avoided or reduced. ~~to~~

~~[illegible]~~

for Secy. to the Govt.

V Ramanathan
15.9.28.

Withdg.

No. Bang. Audit 20-6-704 Office of the Audit Officer,
Experiments,
"Mayo Hall", Bangalore,
Dated the 25th Septr. 1928
26

From

C. Reilly, Esq.,
Audit Officer,
Experiments,
Bangalore.

9337
27/9/28.
459/28

To

The Secretary to the Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Fees levied under Police Order 81.

(E) S.S.2

With reference to your Endorsement No. ~~8350~~/459-1928 dated 19-9-1928 forwarding for remarks extracts from the letter of the Commissioner of Police on the above subject, I have the honour to observe as under:--

(M)

(A) S.S.1

(a) Fees levied under police order 81 are, as pointed out in my letter No. Bang. Audits 533 dated 15th August 1928, Government departmental receipts. They can be re-drawn from the treasury only on bills presented for payment to the police force and debited to "Allowances and Honoraria-House rent and other allowances " in the accounts. The existing orders in the Police Orders regarding the levying of the fees and the payment therefrom to the police force may be ratified by the Hon'ble the Resident under the powers delegated to him under supplementary rule 9.

(b) As regards arms license fees, it is understood that parties can pay the fees either in the shape of stamps or in cash at their option. When they pay in cash, it is not clear why the money cannot be directly paid into the treasury to the credit of the proper head of account without converting the same into stamps by the police

office. The treasury chalan may be filed in lieu of the stamp paper in the files of the licensees. Fees for Cinema licenses and licenses for places of public Resorts are credited direct into the treasury and the same procedure may be followed in the case of cash receipts for arms licenses.

(c) As regards motor ~~cars~~ fees these are not Government receipts but pertain to the municipality and it was not the intention that ~~these~~ should be credited to Government and redrawn. They may be paid direct to the municipality.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

C. Reilly 25

D Audit Officer.

R.S. 25/9

[R. O. 106 (a)].

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 16th Octr 1928

No. 9667 ENCLOS. 1

A copy of the marginally* noted letter, ~~with enclosure,~~ is forwarded to the Comm^r of Police, Comm Str, Bangalore, with reference to paragraph 1 of his letter no. 987/A/28, dated the 4th September, 1928, and with the request ~~Secretary to the Resident~~ That

*No. Bang. Audit dated 20-6-704 25-9-28
from the Audit Office Bangalore

I. N. S (file)

S. N. 3 F

532—15-9-1927—5,000—RPB.

that he will be so good as to state whether he sees any objection to the adoption of the procedure suggested in paragraph (b) of the Audit Officer's letter.

2) Action on paragraph (a) of the Audit Officer's letter will be taken on receipt of the Commissioner's reply to this reference.

Sdy. W. O. Sherwood
Captain
for Secy. to the Resident

Ramanathan
9. 10. 28

17

No. 987/A/28 Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Civil and Military Station Bangalore

Dated the 18th October 1928.
19

From

E. H. Sullivan Esquire,
Commissioner of Police,
Civil and Military Station
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore, Bangalore.

25/10. 10856 ✓ 26/10/28.

Sir,

(J) S.N. 6 Reference your letter No.9667/459-1928 dated 16-10-28.

F S.N. 5 (H) The procedure outlined in the Audit officer's letter may be approved subject to the following modification:-

"Monies received by way of license fees may be paid into the Treasury the following day in a single chalan wherein will be entered details regarding these fees, and the number of the chalan in which the monies are so remitted will be quoted in the applications for such licenses including those which are forwarded to the Residency for disposal".

E. H. Sullivan

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE.

DS.

No.Bang.Audits.
20-6-1232.

Office of the Audit Officer,
Experiments,
"Mayo Hall", Bangalore,
Dated 20th February 1929.

From

Mr.K.C.Chaudhuri, Esq., M.A.,
Audit Officer,
Experiments,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Resident in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Fees levied under Police Order 81.

I have the honour to invite a reference to the correspondence ending with this office letter No.Bang.Audits 20-6-1112 dated 18th January 1929 and to request to be informed as to when a reply may be expected.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your obedient servant,

K. Chaudhuri
Audit Officer.

K.R.

Memorandum.

No. 2198

From Secy to the Resdt.

Bangalore, dated 4-3-29

To the Audit Officer
Experiments
Bangalore.

Reference: Your letter no. 20-6-1232, dt 20th Feb. 1929.

It is requested that a copy of your letter no. 20-6-1112, dated the 18th January 1929 may kindly be sent, as it does not appear to have received in this office.

EVD 1-3-29

for Secy to the Resdt

10

No. Bang. Audits 20-6-1284 Office of the Audit Officer
Experiments,
"Mayo Hall", Bangalore,
Dated the 6th March 1929.

Copy of letter No. Bang. Audits 20-6-1112 dated 18-1-29 from the Audit Officer, Bangalore to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

I have the honour to invite a reference to my letter No. 20-6-1006 dated 11-12-1928 regarding the fees levied under Police Order 81, and request to be informed of the orders passed by the Hon'ble the Resident on the same.

(Copy obtained + attached.)

****

Copy furnished to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore with reference to his Memo No.2198 dated 4-3-1929.

K. Chandhuri
Audit Officer.

E. S. 6/3

RESIDENT'S OFFICE
ORR
2909
Date of receipt 19/3/29
No.

Letter No. Bang Audits 20-6-1006, dated the 11th December, 1928, from the Audit Officer, Bangalore, to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

Fees levied under ~~orders~~ Police Orders 81.

S.N.5 (N)

I have the honour to invite a reference to the correspondence ending with this office letter No. Bang Audits 20-6-704 dated the 26th September, 1928, on the above subject and to request to be informed whether the Hon'ble the Resident has issued any orders on the same.

S.N.1 (M)

As regards the banking account of 'Sports Fund Collections' referred to in this office letter No, Bang Audits 533 dated the 15th August, 1928, the question as to who should be viewed as the "Principal Auditor" for Bangalore for sanctioning the opening of such banking accounts is under reference to the Controller of Civil accounts and a further communication will be made as soon as the question is ~~settled~~ settled.

------)-0%(------

Bang Audits
20-6-1316.

Dated the
15th March, 1929.

Copy forwarded to the Registrar, Resident's Office, Bangalore, with reference to his telephone message dated the 15th March, 1929.

(E)

Sd. A. S. Ramamurthi.

Audit Superintendent, Bangalore.
15th March, 1929.

Memorandum.

No. 2874

From The Secretary to the Resident.

Bangalore, dated 26-3-29

To The Audit Officer,
Experiments,
Bangalore.

S.IV.8 Reference:- Your letter No.20-6-1232, dated 20th February, 1929.

A communication from you regarding the Sports Fund Collections is awaited, as it is proposed to issue one order dealing with the several points raised.

for Secretary.

C.V.Ramanatham
22.3.29

No. Bang. Audits
20--6 .5

Office of the Audit Office,
Experiments,
"Mayo Hall", Bangalore,
Dated the 11th April 1929.

From

P.C. Chetty, Esq., B.A.,
Audit Officer,
Experiments,
Bangalore.



To

The Secretary to the Resident
in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Fees levied under police order 81 and disbu[illegible]
thereof to members of the Police Force.

Sir,

S.R. 11

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your Memorandum No.2874 dated 26-3-1929 and to state that it has not yet been decided as to who should be deemed to be the Principal Auditor for Bangalore for the purpose of the rules in Appendix A to Treasury Orders. The point relating to the banking account for the Sports Fund collections is therefore still under consideration. Meanwhile, it is suggested that, if there be no objection, orders may be issued regarding the disbursement of fees levied under Police Order 81 to the members of the Police force, as this is a question quite independent of the other question referred to above, and as it is desirable to put an end as early as possible to the irregularity of making disbursements in violation of Article 1 of Civil Account Code Volume I.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

P.C. Chetty

Audit Officer.

R.S. 11/4

Proceedings of the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

---:0:---

No. 1245 Dated Bangalore 11th May, 1929.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No.Bang.Audit.20-6-204, dated the 25th September, 1928, from the Audit Officer, Experiments, Bangalore.

Correspondence ending with letter No.987/A/28, dated the 18th October, 1928, from the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

O R D E R.

In virtue of the powers delegated to him under Supplementary Rule 9, the Hon'ble the Resident is pleased to ratify the existing scale of fees laid down in Police Order No.81 regarding the levy of fees for police attendance at entertainments, for making carriage arrangements and the like, and the payment of honoraria to the Police force.

2. As fees levied under this order are Government departmental receipts, disbursements directly out of them to members of the Police force constitute a violation of the orders laid down in Article 1 of the Civil Account Code, Volume I. The Hon'ble the Resident is therefore pleased to direct that fees charged for police attendance at entertainments, etc., should, in future, be paid into the Resident's Treasury as Government receipts. The amount required for disbursement to Police Officers should be drawn from the Treasury on presentation of bills and the charge debited to the head "26 - Police - Allowances, Honoraria, etc. - House rent and other allowances" in the accounts.

3. All fees payable under the Arms Rules shall be paid by means of non-judicial stamps, or in cash, at the option of the applicant. When fees are received in cash the money should be paid directly into the Resident's Treasury to the credit of the proper head of account, without converting the same into stamps, by the office of the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore. The treasury chalan

may

may be filed in lieu of the stamp paper in the files of the licensees. Fees for Cinema licenses and licenses for places of public resorts should be credited direct into the Resident's Treasury and the same procedure should be followed as in the case of cash receipts for arms licenses.

4. As motor license fees are not Govt pertain to the Municipal Commission, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, they should be paid direct to the Municipal Commission.

Major.

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

The District Magistrate, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore

The Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, with the intimation that necessary amendment will be made in the Bangalore Civil and Military Station Police Orders, now under revision.

The Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore.

The Audit Officer, Experiments, Bangalore.

0/213/129

Finance Department Special Duty Branch.

New Delhi, the 9th January 1931

From

C.V.S.Rao, Esq., M.A
Officer on Special Duty, Finance Dept,

To

The Secretary to the
Resident in Mysore Bangalore.

(W)
S.N.12

Sir

With reference to your letter No 233/459 of 1928-Enc., dated the 13th January 1931, regarding the banking account opened by the Commissioner of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore in respect of the Police Sports Fund, I have the honour to state that sanction is accorded to the banking account with the Imperial Bank of India which is understood already to have been opened in connection with the transactions relating to private subscriptions towards a Sports Fund.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Officer on Special Duty, Finance Department.

Copy forwarded to the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, for information with reference to his endorsement No P.B.4051, dated the 19th January 31.

15

[E.O. 106 (a).]

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 4th Feb 1931.

No. 818 Enclos. 1

A copy of the marginally* noted letter, ~~with enclosures~~ is forwarded to the Commissioner of Police, C & M Station, Bangalore (through the Dt Magistrate). for information in continuation of the endt from this Residency No. 8206 dated the 28th October, 1930.

Pol. Le
S.N. 13

*No. D/219/199,
dated the 26th Jany. 1931,
from the Officer on Special Duty, Govt of India.

1
S.N. 11
(V)

3.1.31
Secretary to the Resident.

1212—16-3-1929—5,000—RPB.

ICFW
30-1-31